

Armenian Folia Anglistika – the official peer-reviewed academic journal of the Armenian Association for the Study of English (since 2005) and Yerevan State University (since 2015) aims at fostering research of the English Language, Literature and Culture in Armenia and elsewhere and facilitate intellectual cooperation between high school teachers and scholars.

In 2007 the Editorial Board of *Armenian Folia Anglistika* announced the opening of a new section in the Journal – Armenological Studies, which invites valuable and innovative contributions from such fields as Armenian Linguistics, Literary Criticism, Ethnic Studies, Cultural History, Gender Studies and a wide range of adjacent disciplines.

Armenian Folia Anglistika is intended to be published twice a year. Articles of interest to university-level teachers and scholars in English Studies are warmly welcomed by the multi-national Editorial Board of the Journal. Articles should be directed to the Editor-in-Chief.

Հիմնադիր և գլխավոր խմբագիր՝
ՄԵՂԱ ԳԱՍՊԱՆՐՅԱՆ
Համարի թողարկման
պատասխանատու՝
ԼԻԼԻ ԿԱՐԱՊԵՏՅԱՆ
Լրատվական գործունեություն
իրականացնող
«ԱՆԳԼԵՐԵՆԻ
ՈՒՍՈՒՄՆԱՍԻՐՈՒԹՅԱՆ
ՀԱՅԿԱԿԱՆ ԱՍՈՑԻԱՑԻԱ» ՀԿ
<http://www.aase.y-su.am>
Վկայական՝ 03Ա 065183
Տրված՝ 28.06.2004 թ.

Yerevan State University
Press

Editor-in-Chief

Seda Gasparyan – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Corresponding Member of RA NAS, Honoured Scientist of RA, Head of Yerevan State University English Philology Department, President of Armenian Association for the Study of English.

Phone: +374 99 25 50 60;

E-mail: sedagasparyan@yandex.ru; sedagasparyan@ysu.am

Editors

Shushanik Paronyan, Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Gaiane Muradian, Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Astghik Chubaryan, PhD in Philology, Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia).

Editorial Advisory Board

1. Svetlana Ter-Minasova – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor Emeritus at Lomonosov Moscow State University, President of the Faculty of Foreign Languages and Area Studies, Doctor Honoris Causa at the Universities of Birmingham, UK (2002), The State University of New York, USA (2007), the Russian-Armenian Slavonic University, Armenia, Visiting professor at the National Research Tomsk State University, Russia (2013), Yunshan Professor at Guangdong University of Foreign Languages and International Relations, China (2016), holder of Lomonosov Award (1995), Fulbright's 50th Anniversary Award (1995), Boris Polevoi Prize (2015), Member of the Council of Experts of the International Academic Forum, Japan (2013).

2. Angela Locatelli – Professor of English Literature, Bergamo University, Italy, Adjunct Professor in the Department of Religious Studies at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Faculty Member of the International PhD Network established in 2008 by the University of Giessen, Germany, holder of a Fellowship at the Folger Shakespeare Library in Washington (1999, 2008), one of the three General Editors of EJES (European Journal of English Studies) (2004-2010).

3. Olga Aleksandrova – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of the Department of English Linguistics at Lomonosov Moscow State University, holder of Lomonosov Award (2001), Award of the International Federation of Modern Language Teachers' Associations at FIPLV (2005).

4. **John Stotesbury** - Adjunct Professor of the Department of English University of Oulu, Finland, Adjunct Professor of Philosophical Faculty, School of Humanities, Finland.
5. **Elżbieta Chrzanowska-Kluczevska** – Professor, Dr. hab. Uniwersytet Jagiellonski, Institute Filologii Angielskiej, Katedra Jezykoznawstwa Angielskiego. Cracow, Poland.
6. **Elżbieta Manczak-Wohlfeld** – Professor, Dr. hab. Uniwersytet Jagiellonski, Institute Filologii Angielskiej, Katedra Jezykoznawstwa Angielskiego. Cracow, Poland.
7. **Alessandra Giorgi** – PhD in Philology, Full Professor, Department of Linguistics and Comparative Cultural Studies, Ca’Foscari University of Venice, Italy.
8. **Buniatova Isabella** – Doctor of Philology, Professor, Head of the Department of Germanic and Romance Philology, Boris Grinchenko Kyiv University, Ukraine.
9. **Ірина Шевченко** - Doctor of Philology, Full Professor, V. N. Karazin Kharkov National University, Head of the Department of Business Foreign Language and Translation, Academician of Academy of Sciences of the High School of Ukraine, Editor-in-Chief of The International Journal “Cognition, Communication, Discourse”.
10. **Ewa Salkiewicz-Munnerlyn** – Professor, Doctor of Cracow Academy after Andrej Frycz Modrzewski, Cracow, Poland.
11. **Marta Dabrowska** – Associate Professor, Doctor hab. , Institute of English Studies, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland.
12. **Peter Sutton** – Freelance Editor and Translator, UK.
13. **Sona Haroutyunian** – Doctor of Linguistics, Professor at the Department of Asian and African Studies, Visiting Professor at University of California Los Angeles (2009), Nida School of Translation Studies, New York - Misano Adriatico (2012), California State University Fresno (2013), Yerevan State University (2015), City University of New York (2017).

Managing Editor

Lili Karapetyan – Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia)

Assistant Editor

Gohar Madoyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University (Armenia)

Երևանի պետական համալսարան

**Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության հայկական
ասոցիացիա (Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության
եվրոպական ֆեդերացիայի անդամ)**

**ԱՆԳԼԻԱԳԻՏԱԿԱՆ
ՀԵՏԱԶՈՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ
ՀԱՅԿԱԿԱՆ ՀԱՆԴԵՍ**

**Միջազգային գրախոսվող ամսագիր
համագործակցությամբ՝**

Երևանի Վալերի Բրյուսովի անվան պետական
լեզվահասարակագիտական համալսարանի
(Հայաստան)

Մոսկվայի Մ. Լոմոնոսովի անվ. պետական համալսարանի
(Ռուսաստան)

Կրակովի Յագիելոնյան համալսարանի
(Լեհաստան)

Մոնտենեգրոյի համալսարանի

ԵՐԵՎԱՆ – 2019



100



Yerevan State University

**Armenian Association for the Study
of English (Member Association of the
European Society for the Study of English)**

**ARMENIAN FOLIA
ANGLISTIKA**

**Reviewed International Journal
in cooperation with:**

Yerevan Brusov State University of Languages
and Social Sciences
(Armenia)

Lomonosov Moscow State University
(Russia)

Jagiellonian University, Cracow
(Poland)

University of Montenegro
(Montenegro)

YEREVAN – 2019

CONTENTS

Linguistics

Seda Gasparyan

A Methodological Mechanism for Applying the Hermeneutical Approach9

Mariam Askarian, Hovhannes Vanesyan

Sports Metaphors in American Political Discourse30

Kristine Harutyunyan, Anna Sargsyan

The Sociolinguistic Perspective of Hedging in English44

Hovhannes Vanesyan

Politeness and Its Perception by Armenian Learners of English:

From Theory to Action53

Methodology

Tatyana V. Sidorenko, Margaret Apresyan

CLIL as a New Innovative Pedagogy: the case of Russia and Armenia63

Veronik Khachatryan, Armenuhi Ghalachyan

Meeting the Goals and Challenges of Adult EFL Learners88

Syuzanna Tadevosyan

Inclusive Education in Armenia103

Culture

Evgeniia Zimina, Mariana Sargsyan

Politics, Poetry, People: an Overview of Contemporary Poetry Trends

in the British Literary Landscape113

Narine Harutyunyan

On Some Forms of “Out-Group” Intolerance and “Unlimited”
Tolerance in Linguoculture 130

Marine Yaghubyan

The Use of Positive and Negative Politeness Strategies to Express
Request in English and Armenian Cultures..... 141

Mara Baghdasaryan

Fate across Cultures: a Linguocognitive Approach..... 151

Literature

Angela Locatelli

Spatial Mobility as Social Mobility in the Early Seventeenth Century:
Henry Peacham Jr.’s Picaresque Novel
A Merry Discourse of Meum and Tuum 166

Vicky Tchapanian

Morality vs Immorality in the Miserable Life of
Daniel Defoe's Moll Flanders..... 182

Armenological Studies

Seda Gasparyan, Luiza Gasparyan

On Translational “Lacunae” in the English Translation of
The History of Armenia by Movses Khorenatsi 191

**To the Centenary
of Yerevan State University**

Morality vs Immorality in the Miserable Life of Daniel Defoe's Moll Flanders

Vicky Tchapanian

Lebanese University

Abstract

Defoe's novel, *Moll Flanders*, the story of a thief, a criminal, a whore, a mistress, a lady, a lover, a beggar, and a plantation owner who lives the life of a repentant at 70, reveals Moll's both high and low morals. In the present paper I try to reveal the fact that Moll Flanders, the protagonist, and the first person narrator of the novel, speaks in the voice of Defoe who had lived a life of both vice and virtue with all its extremes. *Moll Flanders* represents the age Defoe lived, along with its harsh and corrupt judicial system, the poverty, the low level of life in the English society, and the injustice of the patriarchy, where love and marriage were commodities in the market called life. In the society where Moll lived, women got married either for money or for title but never for love. Thus, Moll, having neither money nor title, and urging to become a lady, passed through different stages in her life living in vice but later repenting and living in virtue.

Key words: *Moll Flanders, criminal, immorality, Newgate Prison, mistress, minister, lady, money.*

Introduction

At the time when Daniel Defoe wrote his *Fortunes & Misfortunes of the Famous Moll Flanders*, men dominated the world and England was living black days after the horrible Fire and the Plague that had added on the misfortunes of the poor. In his Preface to the novel Defoe states that the tale is meant to convey a serious moral although Moll's autobiography which details her scandalous sexual and criminal adventures, may reveal the opposite.

Moll Flanders is depicted to be one of the poor victims of the corrupt social and judicial systems of the 18th century; she is a young girl of anonymous parenthood, who had fallen in love, but had not been able to be happily married because of social and economic reasons. Moll's dream had never been to live a life of vice, whoredom, and theft; however, her life circumstances had not offered her anything better, so, she had ended up living in immorality.

Defoe, being an active member of the low class, and working hard in trade to move forward in life, knew well about the miseries of the low class people in general and women in particular; women who had only one chance in life called "marriage." However, life was a market, and marriage was a very expensive commodity. A woman had to have either money or title to be able to get a good husband and live virtuously, and not all women were so lucky.

A Typical 18th Century Woman Longing to Live in Virtue, but Living in Vice

Although many economic and social changes occurred in England during the 18th century, yet, obviously men still dominated the world. Under the influence of the patriarchal society and the Industrial Revolution, men were superior to women not only in social status but in economic status as well. Defoe, one of the pioneers in the world of trade and economy of the 18th century England, who participated effectively in creating the novel genre, has skillfully carved and engraved his unique character, Moll Flanders, cultivating in her much of him and more of the women of his age.

The epidemic of the great plague that ravaged London from 1665 to 1666 and killed an estimated 100,000 people, almost a quarter of London's population in 18 months, along with the Horrible Fire of London that swept the central parts of the city from 2 till 6 September 1666 (<[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Fire_of_London)

Great_Fire_of_London>) effected the English society in general and its lower class in particular and revealed the very big gap between the rich and the poor. Defoe, who participated effectively in creating the English middle class, presents a detailed and realistic picture of the life of the poor, including violence on the streets, theft, prostitution, and alike. In *Moll Flanders*, Defoe

depicts the lives of those who could not inherit money and try theft even though the law was harsh, death penalty was frequently inflicted, and executions were public events. Defoe's protagonist, Moll Flanders, truly represents all those penalties along with executions and transportation overseas. She represents innocent women who would like to have their own family, get married with their one and only lover, but have been deprived of their senses and been converted to either criminals or prostitutes who would fight using any means to be able to survive. Moll, the heroin, who wrote her autobiography at the age of seventy, was aware of the fact that it was a man's world; that money and class were the only means for a woman of her time to live a comfortable life, however, she also knew that she couldn't afford her dream life since she was poor and of unknown parenthood.

In the 18th century England, "being a woman was a misfortune and being a woman without money was a double misfortune" (MA Wenying 2015:2). Considering this, Moll understood that no matter how much money she made and how many possessions she had, she would never be accepted by the English society as a fine lady. According to Holzner (2001:52), "Moll Flanders was merely a victim of the male-centered society, and she was driven by all the forces of the world to pursue the immoral things." She came to the conclusion that the only means for her to afford a living was to make money because she believed that nothing would save her but money, thus she said;

[...] if a young woman has beauty, birth, breeding, wit, sense, manners, modesty, and all these to an extreme, yet if she has not money, she's nobody, she had as good want them all for nothing but money now recommends a woman; the men play the game all into their own hands. (p. 20)

Moll learned that money was the only way for a virtuous life, that she was living in a man's world, and that women had restricted roles. She knew she

could do nothing alone, and that is why she always searched for a companion, usually a man, who could support her with material things,

'tis evident men can be their own advisers, and their own directors, and know how to work themselves out of difficulties and into business better than women; but if a woman has no friend to communicate her affairs to, and to advise and assist her, 'tis ten to one but she is undone [...]. (p.112)

Having this in mind, Moll never stopped getting married. She even left her maternal feelings aside to save herself from poverty and loneliness. At the time when Defoe wrote *Moll Flanders*, there existed in England essentially no difference in the punishment received by those who committed theft and those who committed murder. Moll, the innocent little girl, born in Newgate prison, from a mother who was about to be hanged for a petty theft, was saved because of her pregnancy and was later transported to the colonies, leaving the one-and-a-half-year-old Moll in bad hands. Later in her life, Moll came to understand that life in the 18th century England was only a market where love and affection were cheap commodities, whereas title and money were all what a woman needed to survive. Moreover, “In the patriarchal society, men and women were unequal, not only in the marital life, but also in the social life” (Erikson 1986:89). So, men could get married with a rich or a famous man’s daughter, and keep poor girls as their lovers and mistresses.

[...] men chose mistresses indeed by the gust of their affection, and it was requisite to a whore to be handsome, well-shaped, have a good mien and a graceful behavior; but that for a wife, no deformity would shock the fancy, no ill qualities the judgment; the money was the thing; the portion was neither crooked nor monstrous, but the money was always agreeable, whatever the wife was. (p.60)

Moll, having born in such a society, with neither money nor title, lived her childhood working and learning how to sew and make her own living. She was a forceful, persistent, and an attractive woman who obtained her way alone in life and was convinced that men were in love with her and that she was beautiful enough to get any man she wanted. She said,

If a young women once thinks herself handsome, she never doubts the truth of any man that tells her he is in love with her; for if she believes herself charming enough to captive him, 'tis natural to expect the effects of it. (p.23)

However, along with her wit, she lived twelve years as a whore, five times as a wife (once to her own brother), twelve years as a thief, and eight years as a transported criminal in Virginia. By the time Moll was eight, she didn't intend to become a servant, even though town authorities expected her to be one. Instead, she intended to become a "gentlewoman," like a neighbor who seems to earn her living by needlework but is actually a prostitute. Because of her looks and cleverness, Moll became a favorite of the wealthy ladies in town who enjoyed visiting her and gave her money for her living expenses aside from her money for needlework. So, by the time she became fourteen she was taken in by one of the wealthy women, and it was here that her journey in real life tribulations and miseries started.

A poor and beautiful orphan, who had no one to support her, serving in a rich family home would definitely be led to trouble; Moll and the elder brother of the well-off woman's family had a relationship, yet, the elder brother turned her into the wife of his younger brother (who was more interested in love, and less interested in money, and more importantly was naïve enough to believe Moll was the innocent powerless virgin in love with him). As Moll said, [...] *he diligently did [...] cheat him, and had the Thanks of a faithful Friend for shifting off his Whore into his Brothers Arms for a Wife (p. 52).*

Knowing that only money could save her from the harshness of life and the society, knowing that life is not a fair game, that the elder brother whom she so dearly loved would never accept her as his wife since she lacked title and money, Moll accepted the offer of the younger brother who was less interested in class and was more in love with her. However, after living only five years as the wife of the well-off family's son, she was left alone as a widow with no money and no one to turn to. Being in such a situation, in such a place as England during the 18th century, Moll increased her criminal activities (which included no killing but stealing and prostitution). She also kept spreading rumors about her riches to get a husband every time she lost one. Her theory was that if England had provided properly for orphans she would not have fallen into bad hands and would not have needed to fend/maintain for herself before she could make her way in the world. The result of the acts she performed to achieve these goals was the transformation of a beautiful innocent young girl into a hardened middle-aged criminal who was finally captured and sent to Newgate Prison. Thus, dreaming to live a life of virtue in a happy and a rich family, with her lover becoming her husband, the misfortune of being a poor orphan did not help Moll to realize her dream, but obliged her to live in vice, become a criminal, and be transported to Virginia to work at the plantations.

However, one might ask, what the difference between virtue and vice is. Virtue is “a behavior that shows high moral standards. Doing what is right and avoiding what is wrong. The opposite of virtue is vice.” According to the same source, vice means, “a practice, behavior, or habit generally considered immoral, sinful, criminal, rude, taboo, depraved, or degrading in the associated society” (<<https://en.wikipedia.org>>). According to Merriam Webster's dictionary, virtue means “morality, a particular moral excellence” and vice is “sexual immorality, especially prostitution” (Webster, 1993).

Considering the definition of the word *vice* according to Merriam Webster's dictionary, it can be said that Moll proved to be immortal throughout her life, since she had committed prostitution and had played the role of the mistress for many men. Moreover, if vice means rude, and degrading in the

society, according to the encyclopedia, Moll's behavior also proved to be so rude to her children, leaving them careless and going in search of new husbands. She was also a criminal – during her lifetime, even a petty theft was considered to be a crime. However, she confirmed that had it not been the corruption of the English government at her time, shelters would be built for the orphans to live in as was the practice in France. Then, Moll wouldn't have been through all the miseries in her life.

On the other hand, the encyclopedic definition of virtue (“a behavior that shows high moral standards. Doing what is right and avoiding what is wrong”) can be applied to Moll Flanders' life in the way that, every time she had a husband, Moll didn't cheat on him. She was faithful to all her husbands during the times she was married (considering the fact that she had officially been married for five times), and she avoided any other men during those times. Moreover, although she was considered a criminal and a thief, she only stole because she was poor and had nobody to provide her with her necessities. She said,

I have been told that in one of neighbor nations, whether it be in France or where else I know not, they have an order from the king, that when any criminal is condemned, either to die, or to the galleys, or to be transported, if they leave any children, as such are generally unprovided for, by the poverty or forfeiture of their parents, so they are immediately taken into the care of the Government, and put into a hospital called the House of Orphans, where they are bred up, clothed, fed, taught, and when fit to go out, are placed out to trades or to services, so as to be well able to provide for themselves by an honest, industrious behavior.

(pp. 9-10)

Thus, according to Moll, if the English government provided for the orphans as the French government did during the 18th century, if she herself was able to live at ease, regardless of her parenthood, she wouldn't have been condemned with all the crimes and would have lived a decent life.

Conclusion

Thus, Moll, a poor orphan of unknown parenthood, born in Newgate Prison, longed for a life of virtue, but living in such a society as the 18th century English, where title and money were to define a person's fate, she was doomed to live a life of vice. Nevertheless, according to the definitions of vice and virtue, Moll had qualities that proved her to adhere to both. She condemned the English government for making her live a life of vice since she didn't have anyone to protect her nor to provide for her. Throughout the novel, Moll's dual nature is revealed shifting from vice to virtue and vice versa. Sometimes, she was a repentant woman rebuking herself for her misdeeds, and other times she was a ruthless pursuer of ill-gotten wealth, giving the excuse that a young girl in poor circumstances had the right to find support in the best way she could. Eventually, having got married for five times, and giving birth to a dozen children from different fathers, Moll's wit brought her release from prison and her transportation to Virginia. There, with Jemmy, her favorite husband, she was able to become, in a year's time, a wealthy plantation owner and repented for all the wrong deeds she had committed in her life.

References:

1. Erikson, R.A. (1986) *Mother Midnight: Birth, Sex Fate in Eighteenth-Century Fiction*. New York: AMS Press Inc.
2. *Great Plague of London* (copyright 2019) Wikipedia. Available at: JSTOR database <en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Plague_of_London> [Accessed March 2019].
3. *Great Fire of London*. (Copyright 2019) Wikipedia. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Fire_of_London> [Accessed February 2019].

4. Holzner, J. (2001) *The Taboo against Female Aggression in Moll Flanders, Lady Audrey's Secret, and Alias Grace*. Dissertation. Huntsville, Alabama: The University of Alabama.
5. Wenying Zhang (2015) *The Feminist Thoughts of Moll Flanders in Moll Flanders*. // Journal of Literature and Art Studies. March 2015, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp.177-180. doi:10.17265/2159-5836/2015.03.003. Changchun University, Changchun, China.
6. Webster, M. (1993) *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary* 10th edition. Springfield, Massachusetts, USA.
7. *Wikipedia. The Free Encyclopedia*. Available at: JSTOR database <<https://en.wikipedia.org>> [Accessed May 2019].

Source of Data

1. Defoe, D. (2008) *Moll Flanders*. New Immeuble Esseily, Place Riad Solh, Beirut: York Press.

Բարոյականն ընդդէմ անբարոյականի Դանիել Դեֆոյի հերոսուհի Մոլ Ֆլանդերսի թշվառ կյանքում

Սույն հոդվածում վերլուծության է ենթարկվում Դ. Դեֆոյի «Մոլ Ֆլանդերս» վեպի գլխավոր հերոսուհու հակասական բարոյական կերպարը: Փորձ է արվում բացահայտել այն փաստը, որ վեպում հերոսուհին խոսում է Դեֆոյի «ձայնով», որը վարել է թե՛ բարոյական և թե՛ անբարոյական կյանք՝ ողջ ծայրահեղություններով հանդերձ: Մոլ Ֆլանդերսը ներկայացնում է Դեֆոյի ապրած դարաշրջանը՝ իր բոլոր արատավոր կողմերով, ուր սերն ու ամուսնությունը շուկա են հանված: Այն հասարակության մեջ, որտեղ Մոլն ապրում է, կանայք ամուսնանում են կա՛մ փողի, կա՛մ հասարակական դիրքի, բայց ոչ երբեք սիրո համար:

Received by the Editorial Board 19.12.2018

Recommended for publication by the reviewers 30.01.2019

Accepted for print 22.04.2019

Our Authors

Angela Locatelli – Professor (Full and Tenured) of English Literature, and Director of the PhD Program in “Euro-American Literatures”, University of Bergamo, Italy.
E-mail: angela.locatelli@unibg.it

Anna Sargsyan – MA in Linguistics, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: kristineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Armenuhi Ghalachyan – PhD in Philology, Senior Professor, Chair of Theory of Language and Cross-Cultural Communication, Russian-Armenian University.
E-mail: armiine@mail.ru

Evgeniia Zimina – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, Department of Romance and Germanic Languages, Kostroma State University.
E-mail: ezimina@rambler.ru

Hovhannes Vanesyan – PhD student at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: hovhanesyan@yandex.ru

Kristine Harutyunyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: kristineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Luiza Gasparyan - PhD in Philology, Institute of Literature, NAS RA.
E-mail: luizagasparyan@rambler.ru

Mara Baghdasaryan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor at the Chair of English Language 2, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: marabaghdasaryan@ysu.am

Margaret Apresyan – PhD in Linguistics, Professor, Head of the Chair for ESP, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: english@ysu.am

Mariam Askarian – MA in Linguistics, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: mariamaskaryan@gmail.com

Mariana Sargsyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: marianasargsyan@ysu.am

Marine Yaghubyan – PhD in Philology, Associate Professor at the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: marina.yaghubyan@ysu.am

Narine Harutyunyan – Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor at the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University.

Email: narineharutyunyan@ysu.am

Seda Gasparyan – Corresponding Member of RA National Academy of Sciences, Honoured Scientist of RA. Doctor of Sciences (Philology), Professor, Head of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: sedagasparyan@yandex.ru, sedagasparyan@ysu.am

Syuzanna Tadevosyan – PhD in Philology, Senior Professor, Chair of Theory of Language and Cross-Cultural Communication, Russian-Armenian University.

E-mail: syuzantadevosyan@mail.ru

Tatyana Sidorenko – PhD in Pedagogy, Assistant Professor, School of Core Engineering Education, Tomsk Polytechnic University.

E-mail: SidorenkoT@tpu.ru

Veronik Khachaturyan – PhD in Philology, Assistant Professor at the Chair of English Language 2, Yerevan State University.

E-mail: veronikkhachaturyan@ysu.am

Vicky Tchapanian – PhD in Philology, Lecturer at the Department of Business and Economics, Lebanese University.

E-mail: vicky.tchapanian@hotmail.com

Author Guidelines

Manuscript Submission

Manuscripts should be submitted by one of the authors of the manuscript through the online manuscript management system. Only electronic Word (.doc, .docx) files can be submitted. Only online submissions are advised strongly to facilitate rapid publication and to minimize administrative costs. Submissions by anyone other than one of the authors will not be accepted. The submitting author takes responsibility for the paper during submission and peer review. If for some technical reason submission through the online Manuscript Management System is not possible, the author can send manuscript as email attachment. Email submission: afajournal@ysu.am

Editorial Policy

Armenian Folia Anglistika is concerned with such fields as Linguistics, Literary Criticism, Translation Studies, Methodology, Ethnic Studies, Cultural History, Gender Studies, Armenian Studies and a wide range of adjacent disciplines. The articles address a wide range of interesting questions and are of consistently high quality. The reviewing is timely, knowledgeable and objective. The book reviews are very balanced and informative. The language of submission and publication is English.

Editorial Process

This journal follows strict double blind fold review policy to ensure neutral evaluation. All manuscripts are subject to peer review and are expected to meet standards of academic excellence. High quality manuscripts are peer-reviewed by minimum two peers of the same field. The reviewers submit their reports on the manuscripts along with their recommendation of one of the following actions to the Editor-in-Chief:

Recommendation regarding the paper:

1. I recommend the paper for publication
2. I recommend the paper for publication after major/minor corrections
3. I do not recommend the paper for publication

The Editor-in-Chief makes a **decision** accordingly:

1. to publish the paper
2. to consider the paper for publication after major/minor corrections

In these cases the authors are notified to prepare and submit a final copy of their manuscript with the required major/minor changes in a timely manner. The Editor-in-

Chief reviews the revised manuscript after the changes have been made by the authors. Once the Editor-in-Chief is satisfied with the final manuscript, the manuscript can be accepted. The Editor-in-Chief can also reject the manuscript if the paper still doesn't meet the requirements.

3. to reject the paper

The editorial workflow gives the Editor-in-Chief the authority to reject any manuscript because of inappropriateness of its subject, lack of quality, incorrectness, or irrelevance. The Editor-in-Chief cannot assign himself/herself as an external reviewer of the manuscript. This is to ensure a high-quality, fair, and unbiased peer-review process of every manuscript submitted to the journal, since any manuscript must be recommended by one or more (usually two) external reviewers along with the Editor in charge of the manuscript in order to accept it for publication in the journal.

Ethical Issues:

Authors cannot submit the manuscript for publication to other journals simultaneously. The authors should submit original, new and unpublished research work to the journal. The ethical issues such as plagiarism, fraudulent and duplicate publication, violation of copyrights, authorship and conflict of interests are serious issues concerning ethical integrity when submitting a manuscript to a journal for publication.

Withdrawal of Manuscripts:

The author can request withdrawal of manuscript after submission within the time span when the manuscript is still in the peer-reviewing process. After the manuscript is accepted for publication, the withdrawal is not permitted.