

Armenian Folia Anglistika - the reviewed international academic journal of the Armenian Association for the Study of English (since 2005) and Yerevan State University (since 2015) aims at fostering research of the English Language, Literature and Culture in Armenia and elsewhere and facilitate intellectual cooperation between high school teachers and scholars.

Armenian Folia Anglistika is intended to be published twice a year. Articles of interest to university-level teachers and scholars in English Studies are warmly welcomed by the multi-national Editorial Board of the Journal. Articles should be directed to the Editor-in-Chief.

In 2007 the Editorial Board of *Armenian Folia Anglistika* announced the opening of a new section in the Journal – Armenological Studies, which invites valuable and innovative contributions from such fields as Armenian Linguistics, Literary Criticism, Ethnic Studies, Cultural History, Gender Studies and a wide range of adjacent disciplines.

Editor-in-Chief:

Dr. Seda Gasparyan,
Corresponding Member of RA NAS,
Honoured Scientist of RA, Prof.
Yerevan State University
Alex Manoogian 1
Yerevan 0025 Armenia
Tel: (+374 60) 710546
E-mail: afajournal@ysu.am
afaeditor@ysu.am

Editorial Board:

Yelena Mkhitarian, Prof. (Armenia)
Dr. Svetlana Ter-Minasova, Prof. (Russia)
Dr. Olga Alexandrova, Prof. (Russia)
Dr. Angela Locatelli, Prof. (Italy)
Dr. Sona Haroutyunian, Ph.D in Linguistics (Italy)
Peter Sutton, Editor (England)
Dr. Shushanik Paronyan, Prof. (Armenia)
Dr. Gaiane Muradyan, Associate Prof. (Armenia)
Astghik Chubaryan, Prof. (Armenia)

Managing editor: Lili Karapetyan, Associate Prof. (Armenia)

Հիմնադիր և գլխավոր խմբագիր՝
ՍԵՂԱ ԳԱՍՊԱՐՅԱՆ

Համարի թողարկման պատասխանատու՝
ԼԻԼԻ ԿԱՐԱՊԵՏՅԱՆ

Լրատվական գործունեություն
իրականացնող
**«ԱՆԳԼԵՐԵՆԻ ՈՒՍՈՒՄՆԱՍԻՐՈՒԹՅԱՆ
ՀԱՅՎԱԿԱՆ ԱՍՈՑԻԱՑԻԱ» ԴԿ**
http:www.aase.yasu.am

Վկայական՝ 03Ա 065183
Տրված՝ 28.06.2004թ.

Computer Design:
Heghine Gasparyan

**Yerevan State University
Press**

Երևանի պետական համալսարան

**Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության հայկական
ասոցիացիա (Անգլերենի ուսումնասիրության
եվրոպական ֆեդերացիայի անդամ)**

ԱՆԳԼԻԱԳԻՏԱԿԱՆ ՀԵՏԱԶՈՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ ՀԱՅԿԱԿԱՆ ՀԱՆԳԵՄ

**Միջազգային գրախոսվող ամսագիր
համագործակցությամբ`**

Երևանի պետական լեզվահասարակագիտական համալսարանի
(Հայաստան)

Մոսկվայի Մ.Լոմոնոսովի անվ. պետական համալսարանի
(Ռուսաստան)

Կրակովի Յագիելոնյան համալսարանի
(Լեհաստան)

Բերգամոյի համալսարանի
(Իտալիա)

Մոնտենեգրոյի համալսարանի

ԵՐԵՎԱՆ - 2018



Yerevan State University



**Armenian Association for the Study
of English (Member Association of the
European Society for the Study of English)**

ARMENIAN FOLIA ANGLISTIKA

**Reviewed International Journal
in cooperation with:**

Yerevan State University of
Languages and Social Sciences, Armenia

Moscow Lomonosov State University, Russia

Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

University of Bergamo, Italy

University of Montenegro

YEREVAN- 2018

CONTENTS

Linguistics

**Concerning the Program of
Understanding a Literary Text 7**

Seda Gasparyan

**The Communicative Function of English
Parenthetical Constructions 19**

Marine Yaghubyan

Synonymous Structures in English Syntax 29

Jemma Militonyan

**Functional Interpretation of *Alright?* as a
Lecture Question: a Multimodal Analysis 40**

Nare Hakobyan

Factive and Fictive in a Literary Critical Text 51

Lilit Gharagozyan

Language and Gender in Political Discourse 62

Anna Knyazyan, Varduhi Hakobyan

Translation Studies

**Legal English. The UN Convention on Genocide as a
Domain-Specific Text 71**

Seda Gasparyan, Lilit Kharatyan

Conceptual Adequacy in Legal Translation 85

Nare Chobanyan

**“Byronic” Phraseological Units and Their Equivalents
in the Armenian Translation 95**

Ofelya Poghosyan, Varduhi Ghumashyan

Literature

Visual Interpretation of Harry Potter Novels 109

Anna Dilanian

Traditional Fairy Tales and *Shrek* 116

Vicky Tchapanian

**Dickens’s Victorian Novel versus Lean’s
Modern Film Adaptation 126**

Vicky Tchapanian

Armenological Studies

Critical Discourse and Event Semantics Analyses of
D. Trump's Statement on the Armenian Genocide 137
Sona Hakobyan

Book Reviews

A Valuable Research 147
Gayane Gasparyan

The Communicative Function of English Parenthetical Constructions

Marine Yaghubyan
Yerevan State University

Abstract

The main function of parenthetical constructions is to characterize the sentence from the position of the speaker to the listener. In English parenthetical constructions are essential, since they not only connect ideas, sentences, paragraphs making them more cohesive, but also introduce contrast or opposition, emphasis or agreement, purpose, result or conclusion, etc.

We try to demonstrate how parenthetical constructions provide and convey communicative functions in spoken and written discourse. However, one should also note that parenthetical constructions cannot carry out the communicative shifts independently; hence the certain communicative shift is relatively dependent on the context.

Key words: *parenthetical constructions, communicative competence, communicative function, communicative skill.*

Introduction

The primary function of a language is to convey information to each other. Different contexts require different kinds of vocabulary and different expressions that are suitable to that particular context. However, people do not only give information of a concrete nature to each other that has some inherent "truth" about it but also they put their own evaluation on the information to either create an entertaining or an emotive story, a convincing argument, a diplomatic inquiry and so on. Such "evaluation" then provides an interpersonal aspect to the discourse and provides a starting point from which a conversation partner might decide to agree or disagree, or at least to have a

reaction that might promote a social encounter. Language is purposefully used to engage others as well as simply to convey certain types of information. Speakers can construe their own identity and authority and choose to align or disalign themselves with potential conversation partners through their choice of words and particular grammatical constructions (Huston, Merrigan 2000:15).

Another function of a language is to create coherent messages. For discourse to hang together in a logical and organized way, certain linguistic devices such as conjunctions and parenthetical constructions (e.g. *however, therefore*) are used. Communication will break down if there is no continuity or coherence to a speaker's discourse. This occurs during both monologue and dialogue. In monologue, the speaker must maintain coherence within his or her own discourse, while in dialogue, he or she must be able to continue on from the previous speaker, using similar vocabulary, referring to what has just been said, and developing the topic.

Thus, grammatical competence is a part of communicative competence (Huston, Merrigan 2000:21). Therefore, to acquire an effective communicative skill, people should pay attention to grammar due to the following reasons.

First, grammar is very important because it may help to enhance accuracy. Accuracy in communication forms the mind to habits of order and clearness, it accustoms learners to accuracy of language, and hence, to accuracy of thinking. This means grammar rules can help learners develop a habit of thinking logically and clearly. Therefore, after studying grammar, they will become more accurate when using language.

Communicative grammar accuracy improves the development of fluency. The person will know how to organize and express the ideas in his/her mind in a smooth way.

Second, a proper use of grammar is a sign of respect, both for speakers and listeners. For speakers, speaking clearly means they take time to polish themselves with a good impression from listeners. For listeners, a proper use of grammar shows that their thought is concerned.

The role of parenthetical constructions in communication is of paramount importance. The main function of the parenthetical constructions is to characterize the sentence from the position of the speaker to the listener (Alexandrova 1984:34). Parenthetical constructions and their appropriate usage are vital devices for any kind of communication: written or oral. These constructions provide cohesion between sentences and paragraphs, they give logical organization and structure to the context or text, and they make the text easier to read.

Parenthetical Constructions Marking Support and Emphasis

In English parenthetical constructions are essential, since they not only connect ideas, sentences, paragraphs making them more cohesive, but also they show contrast or opposition, emphasis or agreement, purpose, result or conclusion etc.

After identifying the importance of parenthetical constructions in communication, we now try to demonstrate how parenthetical constructions provide and communicative functions in spoken and written discourse. They can mark **support** and **emphasis**. To cue the stated communicative shifts to the reader: the following lexical patterns come in handy: *in other words, for one thing, in this case, for this reason, to put it another way, that is to say, by all means, another key point, first thing to remember, on the positive side, on the negative side, with this in mind, namely, chiefly, truly, indeed, certainly, surely, markedly, especially, surprisingly, significantly, particularly, in fact, in particular, in detail, for example, for instance.*

In the following passage, the author used the parenthetical construction ***indeed*** in order to create a link between his idea and the reality, as well as to demonstrate his agreement and awareness in regard to reality. Hence, the parenthetical construction ***indeed*** is a marker of agreement and emphasis. E.g.:

“Indeed, it is not uncommon for slaves even to fall out and quarrel among themselves about the relative goodness of their masters, each

contending for the superior goodness of his own over that of the others”.

(Douglass 1981:78)

A way to express similarity is to attach parenthetical constructions of *similarity*, which reflect resemblance. In the examples mentioned below, the writers use the words *similarly*, *likewise* to show the relationship between the statements, as well as to support the given ideas. So, we can see that these parentheticals serve as a marker of *similarity* in the following examples. E.g.:

“In time of the cholera, some people go about with a camphorated handkerchief to their mouths; so, likewise, against all mortal tribulations, Stubb's tobacco smoke might have operated as a sort of disinfecting agent”.

(Melville 1992:27)

“It may or may not be affected by Philo; it is almost or quite solitary in the N.T. Similarly, the immortality of the soul may be maintained on Platonic or quasi-Platonic lines, as by St Athanasius”.

(Melville 1992:47)

Parenthetical Constructions Expressing Contradiction, Addition with a Touch of Agreement and Consequence

Unlike parenthetical constructions expressing similarity, certain parenthetical elements express contradiction and thus introduce a change in the line of reasoning (contrast). *although, in contrast, different from, on the other hand, on the contrary, in spite of, though, then again, above all, in reality, after all, even though, although, instead, whereas, despite, conversely, otherwise, however, rather, nonetheless, regardless, notwithstanding, in addition to, this may be true, in contrast, though, as it may, in reality, after all, etc.* E.g.:

*“Oh! **In spite of** million villains, this makes me a bigot in the fadeless fidelity of man! – and a black! and crazy! – but me thinks like-cures-like applies to him too; he grows so sane again”.*

(Melville 1992:38)

*“In the limit of dilution when n is very small compared with N this gives Raoult's experimental law that the relative lowering is n/N , which we deduced from the osmotic law, and **conversely** from which the osmotic law follows, while for more concentrated solutions agreement is obtained by assigning arbitrary values to a , which, as we have seen, is 5 in the case of cane-sugar”.*

(Melville 1992:44)

The parentheticals **in spite of**, **conversely** used in the examples above logically make the ideas fluent, coherent, at the same time they signal the subtle differences and contrasts that exist.

The following parenthetical elements point out addition sometimes with a touch of agreement like: *this may be true, nevertheless, of course, on the same time, besides, then again, in addition and so forth*. E.g.:

*“You know I am trying very hard to get through with the reading for the examinations in June, and this, **in addition** to my regular schoolwork, keeps me awfully busy”.*

(Keller 1989:44)

In this example, the writer makes us feel her awful situation. She not only tries hard to get through with the reading for the examinations, but also she works, which makes her busy, thus adding more elements to her current situation. So, the parenthetical construction **in addition** has purely additional meaning in this context. E.g.:

*“But one thing, **nevertheless**, that made me a little distrustful about receiving a generous share of the profits was this: Ashore, I had heard something of both Captain Peleg and his unaccountable old crony Bildad...”*

(Melville 1992:217)

Providing the parenthetical **nevertheless** in this paragraph is largely a matter of attitude to show agreement, to stress once more the very idea that made him a little distrustful.

The following group of parenthetical constructions serves to intensify the very idea that after a peculiar time there was/is/will be a consequence or an effect: *as a result, under those circumstances, in that case, for this reason, in effect, thus, because, then, hence, consequently, therefore, forthwith, accordingly*. E.g.:

*“They belonged to the defendants; the whale, because it was a Loose-Fish at the time of the final capture; and the harpoons and line because when the fish made off with them, it (the fish) acquired a property in those articles; and **hence** anybody who afterwards took the fish had a right to them”*

(Melville 1992:118)

In this example, the parenthetical construction **hence** allows the reader to establish probability and prepare accordingly.

Parenthetical Constructions of *Time, Chronology and Sequence, Restatement, Conclusion and Summary*

Speakers also make use of parenthetical constructions of **time, chronology and sequence** in order to build up the communicative function of limitation, restriction and definition of time: *at the present time, from time to time, sooner or later, at the same time, up to the present time, to begin with, in due time, after a while, as soon as, as long as, in the meantime, in a moment, without delay, in the first place, suddenly, at this instant first, second, immediately, quickly, finally, after, later, last,*

until, till, since, on a sudden, then, before, hence, since, when, once, now formerly, suddenly, shortly, henceforth, whenever, eventually, meanwhile, further, during, in time prior to, forthwith, presently, occasionally. E.g.:

“After a while, the villager voices start a different chant, of nangjata, nangjata, and this gradually dominates over the forest chant...”

(Crystal 2014:28)

In this example David Crystal achieved the desired chronological effect with the help of the parenthetical construction **after a while**, so this construction shows that actions are presented as if they follow each other.

Parenthetical constructions are also used to express **restatement, conclusion** and **summary**: *as can be seen, generally speaking, in the final analysis, all things considered, as shown above, in the long run, given these points, as has been noted, in a word, for the most part, after all, in fact, in summary, in conclusion, in short, in brief, in essence, to summarize, on balance, altogether, overall, ordinarily, usually, by and large, to sum up, on the whole, in any event, in either case, all in all, obviously, ultimately, definitely.* E.g.:

*“Many parents and students have been complaining about the program. For example, scores on the end-of-grade tests have gone down from last year; teachers are not very motivated; and everyone is frustrated. **To sum up**, some improvements in the middle school program need to be made”.*

(Keller 1989:48)

*“There was a malfunction in the smoke machines and lights, the curtains would not open and close properly, and one of the actors was sick with no stand-in. **In conclusion**, the play was a disaster”.*

(Keller 1989:26)

These parenthetical constructions mentioned above lead the reader to draw conclusions from the preceding thoughts.

Some parenthetical constructions mentioned above may also have another or additional communicative function depending on the context, for instance parenthetical construction *for example* specifies the preceding statement. With the expression *for example*, the writer introduces a specific example that helps to explain or confirm the general statement. To prove the above stated let us consider the following example:

*“Ambiguous words or statements lead to vagueness and confusion, and shape the basis for instances of unintentional humor. **For example**, it is ambiguous to say “I rode a black horse in red pyjamas,” because it may lead us to think the horse was wearing “red pyjamas”.*

(Douglass 1981:61)

Parenthetical constructions of contrast and contradiction *like conversely, on the other hand, on the one hand, on the contrary, however, yet, but, etc.* may also build up other communicative functions. E.g.:

*“The children were very happy. **On the other hand**, and perhaps more importantly, their parents were very proactive in providing good care”.*

(Douglass 1981:83)

In this example the author not only makes a contradiction, but also compares children with parents in order to make the situation more emphatic and expressive.

Conclusion

Thus, within the framework of communication, English parenthetical constructions are essential, since they not only connect ideas, but also can introduce contrast or opposition, emphasis or agreement, purpose, result or conclusion, etc. in the line of argument. However, one should also note that parenthetical constructions cannot carry out the communicative shifts independently; hence the certain communicative shift is relatively dependent on the context.

References:

1. Alexandrova, O.; Komova, T. (2007) *Modern English Grammar. Morphology and Syntax*. Moscow: Academia.
2. Blakemore, D. (2006) *Divisions of Labour: The Analysis of Parentheticals*. Lingua. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishers Co.
3. Dehe, N.; Kavalova, Y. (2007) *Parentheticals*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
4. Huston, C.; Merrigan, G. (2000) *Communication Research Methods*. Clarendon: OUP.

Sources of Data:

1. Crystal, D. (2014) *Living On*. London: Fames Flefber.
2. Douglass, F. (1981) *The Narrative of the Life of Frederick*. Boston: American Press Inc.
3. Keller, H. (1989) *The Story of My Life*. New York: Doubleday Page and Company.
4. Melville, H. (1992) *Moby-Dick*. New York: Harpers and Brothers Publishers.
5. Morell, D. (2003) *First Flood*. Indiana: Indiana University Press.
6. Shakespeare, W. (1974) *Henry 8*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Press.

Միջանկյալ կառույցների հաղորդակցական գործառույթը

Սույն հոդվածում դիտարկվում է միջանկյալ կառույցների հաղորդակցական գործառույթը: Ուսումնասիրությունները ցույց են տալիս, որ միջանկյալ կառույցները շարահյուսորեն կապված չեն նախադասության անդամների հետ, այնուամենայնիվ նրանց դերը նախադասության հաղորդակցական ուղղվածության ձևավորման հարցում չի կարելի թերագնահատել: Հոդվածում քննարկվում են նախադասության հետ այդ կառույցների կապակցման ձևերը և այն իմաստային փոփոխությունները, որոնք ներմուծվում են սույն կառույցների միջոցով:

Our Authors

Anna Dilanian – MA, Applied Linguistics Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: dilanyan94anna@gmail.com

Anna Knyazyan – Doctor of Sciences, Associate Professor at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: annaknyazyan@ysu.am

Gayane Gasparyan - Doctor of Sciences, Professor at Yerevan Brusov State University of Languages and Social Sciences.
E-mail: gasparyan.gayane@yandex.ru

Jemma Militonyan – Lecturer at the Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Social Sciences, Yerevan State University Ijevan branch.
E-mail: jemmamilitonyan@yandex.com

Lilit Gharagozyan – MA, Applied Linguistics Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: lilitgharagozyan@gmail.com

Lilit Kharatyan – MA, Applied Linguistics Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: lilitkharatyan@gmail.com

Marine Yaghubyan – Ph.D in Philology, Associate Professor at the Department of English for Cross-Cultural Communication, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: yaghubyan.marina@ysu.am

Nare Chobanyan – Ph.D student at the Chair of Translation Studies and Practice, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: nare.chobanyan@gmail.com

Nare Hakobyan – Ph.D student at at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: narekaytser@gmail.com

Ofelya Poghosyan – Ph.D in Philology, Associate Professor at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: ofelyapoghosyan54@ysu.am

Seda Gasparyan – Corresponding Member of RA National Academy of Sciences, Honoured Scientist of RA. Doctor of Philology, Professor, Head of English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: sedagasparyan@yandex.ru,
sedagasparyan@ysu.am

Sona Hakobyan – Ph.D in Philology, Head of the Department of Foreign languages and Literature, Eurasia International University.
E-mail: sona.hakobyan@eiu.am

Varduhi Ghumashyan – Ph.D in Philology, Assistant Professor at English Philology Department, Yerevan State University.
E-mail: varduhighoumashyan@ysu.am

Varduhi Hakobyan – MA, English Philology Department, Yerevan State University, teacher at Yerevan basic school N90 after V. Zatikyan.

E-mail: vard_hakobyan@mail.ru

Vicky Tchapanian – Ph.D in Philology, Lecturer at the Department of Business and Economics, Lebanese University.

E-mail: vicky.tchaparian@hotmail.com

Call for Papers

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE DEDICATED TO THE CENTENARY OF YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY

LANGUAGE, CULTURE, COMMUNICATION

April 22-23, 2019

Dear colleagues,

The Faculty of Romance and Germanic Philology (Yerevan State University, Armenia, Yerevan) is planning the International Conference “Language, Culture, Communication” in April 2019 dedicated to the Centenary of Yerevan State University.

The conference will focus on issues concerning the interrelations of language, culture and communication in the paradigm of interdisciplinary contexts.

Suggested areas of investigation:

1. Current Issues in Armenology
2. Cognitive-Linguistic Approaches to Language Studies
3. Concepts and Conceptual Fields
4. Communication across Cultures
5. Communication in Politics and Business
6. Domain-Specific Discourse: Theory and Practice
7. Current Developments in Teaching EFL and ESL
8. Interlingual and Intralingual Translation
9. Linguocognitive Approaches to Literary Studies
10. Other

Working languages of the conference will be Armenian, English, Russian. The official deadline for submission of abstracts (up to 300 words, Sylfaen, font size 11) is **November 1, 2018**. Notification of acceptance by Scientific Program Committee will be available **by December 15, 2018**. The timing is 20 minutes for plenary lectures and 15 minutes for panel session papers.

Proposals should be mailed directly to conflcc2019@ysu.am for approval by the Scientific Program Committee which will also readily accept offers to convene a session.

The registration fee should be paid after the approval of Scientific Program Committee **by February 1, 2019** (details of payment will be informed).

We are looking forward to seeing you in person at the Conference which will be a perfect place for sharing many practical and theoretical ideas.

Participation in absentia will not be provided.

YSU Romance and Germanic Philology Faculty
Tel.: +374 60 710 546 (545)